IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No.60201-M of 2006

DATE OF DECISION:17.11.2006

Baldev Singh Sidhu and another .....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab .....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH KUMAR MITTAL

Present:- Shri Pankaj Jain, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Shri N.S.Gill, AAG, Punjab.

Shri Kanwaljit Singh, Advocate
for the complainant.

****

The petitioners apprehending their arrest in a non-bailable offence in case FIR No.89 dated 16.4.2006 registered under Sections 406/498-A IPC at Police Statio Mohali, have filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail.

I have heard counsel for the parties and gone through the contents of the FIR.

Counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners, who are old father-in-law and mother-in-law of the complainant, have been falsely implicated on the complaint made by the wife, who is living in America. Counsel further contends that the son of the petitioner is also residing in America.

Counsel for the petitioners contends that in view of the order dated 28.9.2006, the petitioners have joined the investigation. This fact has not been disputed by the State Counsel.

In view of the above, the interim bail, granted vide order dated 28.9.2006 is made absolute subject to the same terms and conditions.

This bail order shall remain in operation till the investigation culminates into filing of challan under Section 173 Cr.P.C. except for material change in the circumstances. Thereafter the petitioners shall be entitled to the grant of regular bail by the trial Court and the same shall further continue till conclusion of the trial on the conditions to be imposed by the court of competent jurisdiction.

Disposed of accordingly.

November 17, 2006 **(SATISH KUMAR MITTAL)**
pooja **JUDGE**